

## PSYCHOSOCIAL DUALITIES OF REMOTE WORK: INVESTIGATING MENTAL HEALTH TRAJECTORIES POST- PANDEMIC (COVID 19) IN EVOLVING CONTEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT PARADIGM

**Gagandeep**

Senior Pharmacist, Faculty of Integrated Medicine,  
AYUSH, Dayalbagh Education Institute, Agra, India  
Email: gagandeepnigam@yahoo.com, gaganndei451@gmail.com

### How to cite this paper:

Gagandeep (2026)  
Psychosocial Dualities of  
Remote Work: Investigating  
Mental Health Trajectories  
Post- Pandemic (COVID 19) in  
Evolving Contemporary  
Employment Paradigm,  
Journal of Global Resources,  
Vol. 12 (01)


### DOI:

10.46587/JGR.2026.v12i01.020

Received: 28 Oct. 2025

Reviewed: 25 Nov. 2025

Final Accepted: 10 Dec. 2025

  
**OPEN ACCESS**  
Freely available Online  
[www.isdesr.org](http://www.isdesr.org)

**Abstract:** *The global adoption of remote work, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has fundamentally transformed occupational structures and daily routines. While flexible work arrangements offer potential benefits, including increased autonomy and reduced commuting stress, emerging evidence points to significant psychological challenges. This article critically examines the impact of remote work on mental health, integrating empirical findings from cross-sectional, longitudinal, and meta-analytic studies. A conceptual model is proposed to highlight mediators such as social isolation, digital fatigue, and blurred work-life boundaries, alongside moderating variables including personality, home environment, and organizational culture. Evidence-based interventions ranging from hybrid work models to structured communication protocols are evaluated. A conceptual model is proposed to highlight mediators such as social isolation, digital fatigue and blurred work. Life boundaries, alongside moderating variables including personality, home environment and organizational culture. The paper concludes by emphasizing the need for sustainable strategies that balance flexibility with psychological well-being, positioning mental health as both a moral responsibility and a determinant of long-term productivity.*

**Key words:** Remote Work, Mental Health, Telecommuting, Occupational Health, Post-Pandemic Workforce

## **Introduction**

The nature of work has undergone a seismic shift in the past five years, with remote work transitioning from a niche practice to a mainstream mode of employment (6). Before the pandemic, telecommuting was often limited to specific industries such as technology, freelancing, or multinational corporations with dispersed teams. The COVID-19 pandemic served as a global catalyst, compelling organizations across sectors to adopt remote operations to ensure business continuity. This sudden transformation created an unprecedented natural experiment in occupational behavior and mental health. While the immediate benefits flexibility, reduced commuting, and geographic independence were widely acknowledged, the long-term mental health consequences remain underexplored. Mental health in occupational contexts is a multidimensional construct influenced by workload, social support, job security, and work life integration. Remote work reconfigures these variables, sometimes enhancing them, but often introducing new psychological stressors. The aim of this article is to synthesize current empirical evidence to illuminate the nuanced relationship between remote work and mental health, offering a framework for future organizational and policy interventions.

## **Literature Review**

### **Benefits of Remote Work on Mental Health**

**Reduced Commuting Stress:** Eliminating daily commutes reduces time pressure, exposure to traffic-related stress, and environmental fatigue. Studies report that workers save an average of 54 minutes per day, which can be redirected toward family, exercise, or leisure, thereby improving well-being. **Autonomy and Flexibility:** Greater control over work schedules allows employees to manage tasks in alignment with personal rhythms, which has been linked to increased job satisfaction and lower burnout in certain populations. **Geographic Mobility:** Remote work permits employees to reside in lower-cost or preferred environments, which enhances life satisfaction and lowers financial stress. For dual-career families, this flexibility can improve spousal employment opportunities.

### **Adverse Mental Health Outcomes**

**Social Isolation and Loneliness:** Remote workers report higher loneliness scores compared to on-site counterparts, particularly among individuals living alone. **Digital Fatigue:** Prolonged screen exposure and virtual meetings contribute to cognitive overload, eye strain, and irritability, commonly described as “Zoom fatigue.” **Blurred Work Life Boundaries:** The absence of clear spatial and temporal boundaries increases role conflict, as work intrudes upon domestic spaces and family responsibilities. **Burnout:** Chronic digital engagement and “always-on” culture are associated with elevated burnout prevalence, particularly in knowledge-based industries.

### **Moderating Variables**

**Personality Traits:** Introverts may thrive in remote settings, experiencing greater focus and fewer social stressors, while extroverts often experience distress from reduced social engagement. **Home Environment:** Access to a quiet workspace, reliable technology, and supportive family structures can significantly buffer negative outcomes. **Organizational Culture:** Leadership style, communication frequency, and provision of mental health resources shape employee resilience. Organizations that actively monitor well-being and foster psychological safety demonstrate lower attrition rates.

### **Methodological Considerations in Current Research**

Current research on remote work and mental health faces methodological challenges. The majority of studies employ cross-sectional designs, limiting causal inference. While useful for

snapshot assessments, these studies cannot capture the temporal dynamics of adaptation. Longitudinal studies, which follow workers across months or years, have revealed adaptation patterns for example, initial enthusiasm often gives way to fatigue and isolation. This study employs a narrative literature review methodology and meta-analytic studies on remote work and mental health sourced primarily from North American and European Context. It proposes a conceptual model integrating mediators (example- digital fatigue) and moderators (example- personality) , while addressing methodological limitations like causal influence gaps and cultural biases for future research directions.

A promising innovation involves hybrid research designs that combine survey data with objective indicators such as sleep quality, physical activity, and screen time measured through wearable devices or passive digital monitoring. These multi-modal approaches provide more nuanced insights into how remote work shapes psychological health. However, ethical issues around privacy and surveillance require careful navigation. Another limitation lies in cultural and geographic bias. Much of the evidence originates from North America and Europe, while workers in Asia, Africa, and Latin America may experience different psychological dynamics due to varying household structures, technological access, and cultural expectations around work. Future research must adopt cross-cultural comparisons to provide a more globally representative understanding.

### **Conceptual Model**

A proposed conceptual model frames remote work as a set of conditions (flexible schedules, digital dependence, home-based work environments) that operate through mediators such as social isolation, digital fatigue, and blurred work–life boundaries, producing mental health outcomes that include anxiety, depression, loneliness, and burnout. Moderating factors—personality traits, coping strategies, organizational culture, and socio-economic context—either exacerbate or mitigate these effects. This model offers a structured lens through which organizations and researchers can design targeted interventions.

### **Evidence-Based Interventions**

- Hybrid Work Models: Alternating between remote and on-site work preserves social interaction while retaining flexibility.
- Digital Hygiene Practices: Scheduled breaks, meeting-free hours, and restrictions on after-hours communication help reduce cognitive overload.
- Mental Health Support Services: Accessible virtual counseling, mindfulness programs, and Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs) are effective in reducing psychological strain.
- Ergonomic and Technological Support: Providing stipends for ergonomic furniture, noise-canceling devices, and secure internet connections creates healthier home workspaces.
- Structured Communication Protocols: Transparent workflows, clear expectations, and regular check-ins improve alignment and reduce uncertainty.

A 2024 SHRM (Society for Human Resource Management) survey reveals that remote workers faced a 40 percent likelihood of anxiety and depression; 5 percent higher than the 35 percent reported by onsite employees; underscoring needs for targeted interventions. These interventions should be adapted to organizational size, industry, and workforce demographics. Importantly, interventions must be proactive rather than reactive, aiming to prevent deterioration rather than solely treating distress.

## **Discussion**

Remote work presents a paradoxical landscape for mental health. On one hand, autonomy and flexibility are empowering, particularly for parents, individuals with disabilities, and those in congested urban areas. On the other, the erosion of social connection and the intensification of digital demands present tangible risks. A one-size-fits-all approach is inadequate. Younger workers often value mentorship and peer interaction, while older employees may prioritize flexibility. Similarly, cultural expectations shape how workers perceive work–life boundaries; collectivist societies may experience stronger familial intrusion, while individualist contexts highlight personal autonomy. From a policy perspective, the integration of mental health considerations into occupational guidelines is no longer optional. Governments, international agencies, and corporations must collaborate to create supportive frameworks. Remote work should not be treated merely as a cost-saving mechanism, but as a holistic workplace arrangement with long-term human consequences.

## **Conclusion**

The transition to remote work is not merely a logistical adjustment it represents a cultural and psychological transformation in the workplace. The sustainability of remote work depends on deliberate design: balancing autonomy with connection, flexibility with structure, and efficiency with empathy. Mental health considerations must be embedded within organizational strategy, not as peripheral initiatives but as core priorities. Whether remote work evolves into a tool for empowerment or a source of chronic distress will depend on how effectively leaders, researchers, and policymakers integrate psychological well-being into future workforce models.

## **References**

1. Choudhury, P., Foroughi, C., & Larson, B. (2021) Work-from-anywhere: The productivity effects of geographic flexibility.
2. Eurofound. (2022) Telework and ICT-based mobile work: Flexible working in the digital age. Publications Office of the European Union.
3. Gallup. (2023) State of the Global Workplace Report. Gallup Press.
4. Killgore, W. D. S., Cloonan, S. A., Taylor, E. C., & Dailey, N. S. (2021) Loneliness: A signature mental health concern in the era of COVID-19.
5. OECD. (2023) The future of remote work. OECD Policy Responses. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(4), 655–683.
6. World Health Organization. (2022) Mental health at work: Policy brief. WHO & ILO.